314-3456060

PTO/SB/61 (09-04) Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid QMB control number. PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF AN APPLICATION FOR PATENT Docket Number: 717901.19 ABANDONED UNAVOIDABLY UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(a) First named inventor: Burns, John Granville Art Unit: 3643 Application No.: 10/006,017 Examiner: Gellner, Jeffrey L. Filed: December 4, 2001 TIME: PLAYING SURFACE SUBSTRATE, IN PARTICULAR TURF MATS Attention: Office of Petitions Mail Stop Petition Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 NOTE: If information or assistance is needed in completing this form, please contact Petitions Information at (703) 305-9282. The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to file a timely and proper reply to a notice or action by the United Sates Patent and Trademark Office. The date of abandonment is the day after the expiration date of the period set for reply in the Office notice or action plus any extensions of time actually obtained. APPLICANT HEREBY PETITIONS FOR REVIVAL OF THIS APPLICATION. NOTE: A grantable petition requires the following items: (1) Petition fee. (2) Reply and/or issue fee. (3) Terminal disclaimer with disclaimer fee-required for all utility and plant applications filed before June 8, 1995, and for all design applications; and (4) Adequate showing of the cause of unavoidable delay. 1. Petition fee Small entity-fee \$ 250.00 (37 CFR 1.17(I)). Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27. Other than small entity - fee \$ _____(37 CFR 1.17(I)) 2. Reply and/or fee A. The reply and/or fee to the above-noted Office action in the form of Response to Restriction Requirement and Amendment (Identify type of reply): ⊠has been filed previously on _____June 21, 2004 Sis enclosed herewith. B. The issue fee of \$__ has been paid previously on _ is enclosed herewith.

[Page 1 of 3]

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.137. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 1.0 hour to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will very depending upon the Individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Mail Stop Petition, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. If you need essistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

PTO/SB/61 (09-04)
Approved for use 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Type or printed name of person signing certificate

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1996, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. Terminal disclaimer with disclaimer fee Since this utility/plant application was filed on or after June 8, 1995, no terminal disclaimer is required. for a small entity or \$. A terminal disclaimer (and disclaimer fee (37 CFR 1.20(d)) of \$_ other than a small entity) disclaiming the required period of time is enclosed herewith (see PTO/SB/63). 4. An adequate showing of the cause of the delay, and that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.137(a) was unavoidable, is enclosed. WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038. December 30, 100 Kevin M. Kercher Registration Number, if applicable Typed or printed name 314-345-6000 720 Olive Street, Suite 2400 Telephone Number Address St. Louis, MO 631010 Address Enclosures: Fee Payment ⊠Reply . Terminal Disclaimer Form Additional sheets containing statements establishing unavoidable delay copy of stamped return postcard, US Postal Service Mail Receipt CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION [37 CFR 1.8(a)] I hereby certify that this correspondence is being: deposited with the United States Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Petition, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. Itransmitted by facsimile on the date shown below to the United States Patent and Trademark Office at (703) 872-9306. Signature Date Beth Hookway

PTO/SB/81 (09-04) Approved for use through 07/31/2006, QMB 0651-0031 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no parsons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number,

PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF AN APPLICATION FOR PATENT ABANDONED UNAVOIDABLY UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(a)

NOTE: The following showing of the cause of unavoidable delay must be signed by all applicants or by any other party who is presenting statements concerning the cause of delay.

December 30, 200 y

Kevin M. Kercher Typed or printed name <u>33,408</u> Registration Number, if applicable

(in the space provided below, please explain in detail the reasons for the delay in filing a proper reply.)

The response to the restriction requirement dated May 19, 2004 was timely filed on June 21, 2004. A copy of this response is enclosed as Appendix A. This response was received by the United States Post Office as evidenced by the copy of the post card which is enclosed as Appendix B. The proof from the United States Postal Service of delivery of this response is enclosed as Appendix C.

It is respectfully believed that this abandonment was completely and utterly unavoidable since a response to the restriction requirement was timely sent to the United States Patent Office and received in a timely manner by the United States Patent Office. This abandonment is solely the result of the United States Patent Office misplacing a response that was received by the United States Patent Office. There was no delay whatsoever by the Applicant in filing a proper reply.

Applicant respectfully requests the granting of this petition to revive this unavoidably abandoned patent application that was abandoned through absolutely no fault of the Applicant.